

Community Corrections Partnership

AB109 Executive Committee

Civic Center Building / Webex / Teleconference
 Probation Department Administration
 175 W. 5th Street, 4th Floor, San Bernardino CA 92415

Minutes for Wednesday, January 4, 2022

<p>Committee Members Tracy Reece, Chairperson, CPO Shannon Dicus, Sheriff Christopher Catren, Chief of Police Redlands Police Department Anabel Romero, Deputy Court Executive Officer Matthew Erickson, County Chief Financial Officer, CAO Jason Anderson, District Attorney Thomas Sone, Public Defender Diana Alexander, Assistant Executive Officer, Human Services Dr. Georgina Yoshioka, Interim Director, Behavioral Health Bradley Gates, Interim Director Workforce Development Department Dr. Scott Wyatt, Director, Superintendent of Schools Florida Alarcon, Chief Victim Services, District Attorney Owen Duckworth, CEO, Inland Empire Rebound Reentry Services</p>	<p>Invited Guests Julie Francis, ACPO Ed Barry, DCPO Thomas Kamara, Director of Probation Admin. Horace Boatwright, Undersheriff Robert Saldana, Deputy Executive Officer Dr. William Oglesby, Deputy Director Supriya Barrows, Deputy Executive Officer Ted Alejandro, Superintendent Michael Fermin, Chief Assistant District Attorney Kelly Welty, Sheriff’s Department</p> <p>Minutes by: Lisa Bari, Executive Secretary III</p>
---	--

Agenda Item	Actions
1. Meeting Called to Order	Chief Reece called the CCP AB109 Executive Committee meeting to order at 1:02 p.m.
2. Introductions	Chief Reece conducted Roll Call - Attendance Roster On File
3. Approve Minutes from August 5, 2021	MOTIONED by Dr. Scott Wyatt and seconded by Sheriff Dicus to approve the February 17, 2021 Minutes as written. Matthew Erickson abstained from vote. All in favor, none opposed.
4. Follow-Up Items from Previous Meeting	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Recruitment for a Data Analyst – per Matthew Erickson, due to internal County Administrative Office needs, provided certification list for Data Analyst was used to hire for alternate position. 2) Growth Funds and Allocations <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. As motioned by Sheriff Dicus at the August 5, 2021 meeting, Matthew Erickson provided presentation on “2021-22 Community Corrections –Possible Allocation Methodologies” b. Current FY 2021-22 Budget allocated at \$106,467,410 c. 2021, CAO staff anticipated a 13% reduction of sales tax revenue; however, a 9-11% increase was received, resulting in \$14 million in additional funds; resulting in FY 2021-22 Base funds of \$120,427,319

- d. Proposed Option One – Continue to allocate new ongoing Public Safety Realignment funding based on current departmental proportionate share of funding. Option one would result in no change to current practice.
- e. Proposed Option Two – Allocate new ongoing Public Safety Realignment funding as follows:
 - i. New funding would be used to cover new costs associated with existing departmental Public Safety Realignment programs, such as employee negotiated across-the-boards, retirement costs, and inflation; amounts, per department, would be determined by County Finance.
 - ii. If funding remains, impacted departments would submit proposals to CCP AB109 Executive Committee group to determine allocations based on highest level of priority, as determined by committee.
 - iii. Each department would have an opportunity to provide a presentation to committee for funding requests.
- f. All decisions on budget allocations would be subject to CEO and Board Review/Approval for both options provided.
- g. Discussion –
 - i. CCP Committee would need to vote for either option
 - ii. In years past, the committee has voted as a whole, to give 100% of additional funds received to one department, based on the needs at the time.
 - iii. Discussion as to the additional \$14 million as an anomaly. Per CAO staff, it is anticipated the same amount would be received for the FY 2022-23 budget.
 - iv. Discussion as to the purpose of the funds. Funds should be used based on the global needs of the County and community served.
 - v. Discussion as to a hybrid of Option One for FY 2021-22 and Option Two for subsequent fiscal years; using FY 2021-22 proportionate allocations as a One-Time funding source. Per CAO staff, it is anticipated the base amount would be the same or similar for FY 2022-23.
 - vi. Discussion as to past practices. One instance, committee voted as a whole to give 100% of additional funding to the Sheriff's Department, based on the needs at the time. Second example given of committee rejecting proposal for additional funds based on programmatic needs at the time.
 - vii. Sheriff – Trends in law enforcement corrections have increased demands on several departments. In order to make informed decisions, the committee would need guidance from the CAO in regards to other department's budget as appropriate. Per Erickson, due to laws and legislation, the CAO would not be able to make final decision as to how the funding would be divided; the CCP committee would have to vote. CAO staff could create a form for departmental use to provide updates from department perspective, as well as continuing to look to CCP committee as well on recommendations.
 - viii. Reece – Funds should be used for the good of the County and community served. Committee would need to meet on a more regular

	<p>basis should Option Two be selected, to review and discuss proposals. Option Two would give other departments a chance to request funding for programs.</p> <p>h. VOTE –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Request for Motion to Vote on Option One, Continue to allocate new ongoing Public Safety Realignment funding based on current departmental proportionate share of funding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hearing none ii. Request for Motion to Vote on Option Two, to Allocate new ongoing Public Safety Realignment funding to cover new costs associated with existing department Public Safety Realignment programs and impacted departments may submit proposals for CCP Committee review and approval based on priority for any remaining funding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOTIONED by Tracy Reece and seconded by Sheriff Dicus to approve Option Two. Matthew Erickson abstained from vote. All in favor, none opposed. <p>i. Next Steps – Proposals will be submitted through CAO staff by end of January and committee meeting will be scheduled for mid-February for review and discussion of proposals.</p>
<p>5. New Business Items</p>	<p>Thomas Kamara –</p> <p>Probation is the Lead Agency for the CCP AB109 Committee and has been tasked to submit an annual BSCC Survey related to AB109 programming. Part A of the survey focuses on budget, while Part B of the survey is the narrative for programming, which is more in-depth. Kamara has reached out to several departmental staff to receive programming narrative for inclusion on report.</p>
<p>6. Roundtable</p>	<p>Sheriff Dicus – Due to adjusted phone rates mandated from the California Public Utilities Commission effective January 3, 2022, the Sheriff’s department will be including a proposal to receive additional Public Safety Realignment funding.</p> <p>Alejandre – Schools overall funding is from attendance; there has been a 12% drop in attendance in the West End. Looking forward to collaborative partnership efforts to address changes in legislation.</p> <p>Romero – Ms. Romero will be replacing Nancy Eberhardt on CCP AB109 Committee, on behalf of San Bernardino County Superior Court Presiding Judge.</p>
<p>7. Public Comment</p>	<p>No public in attendance. No public comments.</p>
<p>8. Adjournment</p>	<p>Chief Reece adjourned the meeting at 1:59 p.m.</p>